arXiv:1703.01997v1 [math.SP] 6 Mar 2017

REFLECTIONLESS DISCRETE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ARE SPECTRALLY ATYPICAL

TOM VANDENBOOM

Abstract. We prove that, if an isospectral torus contains a discrete Schrödinger operator with nonconstant potential, the shift dynamics on that torus cannot be minimal. Consequently, we specify a generic sense in which finite unions of nondegenerate closed intervals having capacity one are not the spectrum of any reflectionless discrete Schrödinger operator. We also show that the only reflectionless discrete Schrödinger operators having zero, one, or two spectral gaps are periodic.

1. Introduction and Main Results

A discrete Schrödinger operator (DSO) is a self-adjoint linear operator H on the Hilbert space of square-summable sequences $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ which acts entrywise via

$$(Hu)_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n-1} + V(n)u_n, \quad u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}), \tag{1.1}$$

where V is a bounded real potential funtion $V : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$. A DSO H_V is called almost-periodic if its potential function $V : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is almost-periodic; that is, if every sequence of translates $V_k = V(\cdot + n_k)$ has a uniformly convergent subsequence. A prominent example of an almost-periodic DSO is the Almost-Mathieu Operator given by H_V with $V_{\lambda,\theta,\alpha}(n) = 2\lambda\cos(2\pi(\theta + n\alpha))$ for $\alpha \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. The DSO is most naturally identified as a discretized model of the differential Schrödinger operator, $L = -\Delta + V$. The Schrödinger operator and DSO tend to share many of the same spectral characteristics, and as such the question of identifying the spectral characteristics of a DSO with a fixed almost-periodic potential V is thoroughly studied and reasonably well-understood.

On the other hand, one can likewise ask the dual question: if one fixes certain spectral characteristics, what, if any, bounded self-adjoint operators demonstrate them? In this context, the Jacobi operator $J = J(a,b)$

$$(Ju)_n = a_n u_{n-1} + b_n u_n + a_{n+1}u_{n+1}, \quad u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.2}$$

arises in a very natural way. Namely, when one fixes a compactly supported probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$, multiplication by the independent parameter x with respect to the basis of orthogonal polynomials in $L^2(d\mu)$ is unitarily equivalent to operation by a half-line Jacobi operator J on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Under the assumption of absolute continuity and almost-periodicity, a whole-line Jacobi operator can be completely reconstructed from any of its half-line restrictions. In fact, for a finite union of nondegenerate disjoint closed intervals $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ (henceforth, a "finite-gap compact"), the set $\mathcal{J}(E)$ of all absolutely continuous, almost-periodic, whole-line Jacobi operators with spectrum E is naturally homeomorphic to a finite-dimensional torus [7, 21]. In this case we call the $\mathcal{J}(E)$ the isospectral torus for E.

For finite-gap compacts E, certain potential-theoretic properties are directly related to spectral properties of elements of $\mathcal{J}(E)$. If $\mathcal{M}_1(E)$ denotes the set of Borel probability

T.V. was supported in part by NSF grant DMS–1361625.

measures supported on E, define the logarithmic capacity of E by

$$\operatorname{cap}(E) := \sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_1(E)} \exp\left(-\int_E\int_E \log\left(|x-y|^{-1}\right) d\mu(x)d\mu(y)\right).$$

The logarithmic capacity of a finite-gap compact E can be determined as the limit of the geometric means of the off-diagonal sequences of Jacobi operators in the isospectral torus [20, 21]:

$$\operatorname{cap}(E) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n}, \quad J(a,b) \in \mathcal{J}(E). \tag{1.3}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^n$ the set of n-gap compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ of capacity α:

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha^n := \{E \subset \mathbb{R} : E \ \ n\text{-gap compact}, \ \operatorname{cap}(E) = \alpha\}.$$

Similarly, we denote $\mathcal{K}^n$ the set of all n-gap real compacts, and by $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ the set of finite-gap compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ of capacity α:

$$\mathcal{K}^n = \bigcup_{\alpha>0} \mathcal{K}_\alpha^n, \quad \mathcal{K}_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_\alpha^n.$$

Note that, by (1.3), if $E = \sigma(H_V)$ for some DSO H_V, then $E \notin \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \neq 1$.

Comparing equations (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) immediately reveals that DSOs are a special class of Jacobi operator with spectrum E of unit capacity $\operatorname{cap}(E) = 1$. Thus, an absolutely continuous, almost-periodic DSO with finite-gap spectrum E is a member of the isospectral torus $\mathcal{J}(E)$. However, the isospectral torus is defined in terms of the much broader class of Jacobi operators. In this context, the following question is quite natural:

Question. Consider $E \in \mathcal{K}_1$. Does the isospectral torus $\mathcal{J}(E)$ contain a DSO?

Our titular result says that the answer to this question is generically "no":

Theorem 1.1. *The set of finite-gap compacts $E \in \mathcal{K}_1$ for which there exists a DSO $H \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ is meager and has measure zero.*

By a meager set, we mean a countable union of nowhere dense sets in the relevant topology. Here, the topology and measure on $\mathcal{K}_1$ is induced by a topology on each $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^n$; namely, any $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ can be identified uniquely by its ordered $2(n+1)$ distinct gap edges. The topology and measure are then induced by inclusion of the corresponding vector in $\mathbb{R}^{2(n+1)}$. We clarify precisely what we mean here in Section 2.

Remark. The restriction to $E \in \mathcal{K}_1$ is necessary here to make the theorem statement nontrivial; indeed, we will see later that $\mathcal{K}_1^n$ is codimension 1 inside $\mathcal{K}^n$. However, if we were to extend our definition of DSO to include any Jacobi operator with constant off-diagonal sequence a, all of our theorems would remain true without any restriction on the capacity of E.

On the other hand, it is known in general that, if $V(\cdot) = V(\cdot + p)$ is periodic for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the associated DSO H_V is purely absolutely continuous with spectrum consisting of a union of at most p closed intervals. Our second result says that, at least when the number of gaps in E is small, a sort of converse holds:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose there exists an almost-periodic DSO H with $\sigma(H) = \sigma_{ac}(H) = E$ with $n = 0, 1,$ or 2 open spectral gaps. Then H is periodic.*

That this is true for the case with $n = 0$ gaps has been explored in-depth and is quite well-known [4, 11]. Similarly, while we have never seen the case $n = 1$ explicitly addressed, it has probably been observed before by virtue of trace formulas. The real novelty of this

theorem is that the same holds when $n = 2$, which we will see is the first truly nontrivial case. Of course, this raises the following natural follow-up

Question. Are there any aperiodic absolutely continuous DSOs with finite-gap spectrum?

While Theorem 1.2 is evidence that the answer could be negative, we are reluctant to make a conjecture one way or another. Theorem 1.2 is thoroughly algebro-geometric in nature, and it may be that the result is a consequence of the low dimension of the corresponding isospectral torus rather than an illustration of a universal principle.

These results are more precisely viewed as corollaries of broader statements which can be made in the context of reflectionless Jacobi operators, which we now define. Denote by $r(z, J) = \langle \delta_0, (J - z)^{-1}\delta_0 \rangle$ the Green's function for J. The Green's function $r(z, J)$ has almost-everywhere defined radial limits on $\sigma(J)$; that is, for almost-every $x \in \sigma(J)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} r(x + i\varepsilon, J)$ exists. When this limit exists, we denote it by $r(x + i0, J)$.

Consider a positive-measure compact $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. We say that the Jacobi operator J is reflectionless on E when $\mathrm{Re}(r(x + i0, J)) = 0$ for (Lebesgue) almost-every $x \in E$. A Jacobi operator is called reflectionless if it is reflectionless on its spectrum $\sigma(J)$. There is a subtle and intimate relationship between the reflectionless property, almost periodicity, and the geometry and absolute continuity of the spectrum [1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 12, 17, 21, 24]. From a heuristic perspective, reflectionless Jacobi operators are those whose dynamics lack reflection; that is to say, those operators whose states coming completely from $-\infty$ in backward time proceed completely to $+\infty$ in forward time [2]. Periodic Jacobi operators are perhaps the initial example of reflectionless operators, but, more generally, almost-periodic Jacobi operators are reflectionless on an essential support of their a.c. spectrum [12, 17]. A partial converse likewise holds under certain geometric restrictions on the spectrum [21]; however, the converse may fail in spectacular fashion without these restrictions [1, 24].

Fix a positive-measure compact $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, and define the isospectral torus for E as

$$\mathcal{J}(E) := \{J : \sigma(J) \subset E \text{ and } J \text{ reflectionless on } E\}.$$

This is a compact, path-connected subset of the space of uniformly bounded Jacobi operators when endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence [13, 18]. The action $\mathcal{S}$ of conjugation by the left-shift $S : \delta_n \mapsto \delta_{n+1}$ preserves both the spectrum and the reflectionless condition, and thus $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ is a discrete-time dynamical system. Theorem 1.1 is a straightforward consequence of the following dynamical characterization of those isospectral tori containing a DSO:

Theorem 1.3. *Fix a positive-measure compact $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, and suppose there exists a DSO $H_V \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. Then either V is a constant potential $V = C$, or the dynamical system $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ is not minimal.*

Remark. Theorem 1.3 makes no assumption on the spectral gaps of E. Furthermore, Theorem 1.3 does not merely hold for the topology of pointwise convergence on $\mathcal{J}(E)$; it holds for any topology under which the limit of a DSO remains a DSO.

The dichotomy in Theorem 1.3 raises a follow-up question of its own: under the assumption that there exists a DSO $H \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, to what extent can the shift dynamics fail to be minimal? While we do not have a complete answer to this question, we can report the following progress:

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose $E \in \mathcal{K}_1^n$ for $n = 0, 1,$ or 2. If there exists a DSO $H \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, the dynamical system $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ is periodic.*

Of course, without the assumptions of finite-gap and reflectionless, the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 fails completely: one can construct limit-periodic DSOs with pure point spectrum

and finitely many gaps [15], and the subcritical AMO and Pastur-Tkachenko class of DSOs are reflectionless with homogeneous infinite-gap spectra [3, 6]. But it would be very interesting to construct an example of a reflectionless Jacobi operator with periodic off-diagonal, aperiodic main diagonal, and finite-gap spectrum.

This paper will be structured as follows: Section 2 contains a brief crash-course on the requisite background material. Section 3 contains the majority of the proofs of the above theorems, save for a proposition demonstrating the genericity with which Theorem 1.1 holds. This proposition (which may be well-known, although we could not find it in the literature) is proven in Section 4. Section 5 can be viewed as an appendix; it includes some basic facts about the Toda hierarchy that we implement in our proofs.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Daniel Bernazzani and Vitalii Gerbuz for a number of helpful conversations, and offer a special thanks to Jake Fillman for pointing out the application of these methods to off-diagonal Jacobi operators.

2. Background

A Jacobi operator is a linear operator J on sequences $u \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ parametrized by a pair of bounded, real-valued sequences $a, b \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. The operator is defined termwise by

$$(Ju)_n = a_n u_{n-1} + b_n u_n + a_{n+1} u_{n+1}. \tag{2.1}$$

This action can be realized as the formal action by left-multiplication of an infinite three-diagonal matrix on the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. In this paper, we will deal only with those Jacobi operators whose off-diagonal sequences a have entries which are positive and bounded uniformly away from 0; i.e. we assume there is some constant $c = c(J) > 0$ for which $a_n > c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. When restricted to the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, it is not hard to see that a Jacobi operator is bounded and self-adjoint. We make no notational distinction between J and its restriction to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

The resolvent set $\rho(J)$ of a Jacobi operator J is defined as the set of complex energies z for which the operator $(J - zI)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. The complement of the resolvent set in the plane is called the spectrum of J, denoted $\sigma(J) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(J)$. Because J is bounded and self-adjoint, $\sigma(J)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$. By the spectral theorem, for each $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, there exists a unique positive Borel measure $\mu = \mu_\psi$ supported on $\sigma(J)$ so that

$$\langle (J - zI)^{-1}\psi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\sigma(J)} \frac{1}{x - z} d\mu_\psi(x), \quad z \in \rho(J). \tag{2.2}$$

This measure is the spectral measure of J with respect to ψ. Using the Lebesgue decomposition $d\mu_\psi = d\mu_{\psi,ac} + d\mu_{\psi,s}$, we define the absolutely continuous spectrum $\sigma_{ac}(J)$ as the common topological support of the absolutely continuous parts of all spectral measures:

$$\sigma_{ac}(J) = \overline{\bigcup_{\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})} \operatorname{supp} d\mu_{\psi,ac}}.$$

For resolvent energies $z \in \rho(J)$ the operator $(J - zI)^{-1}$ likewise has a formal matrix representation with respect to the standard basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$; we denote its entries

$$r(n, m; z, J) := \langle (J - zI)^{-1}\delta_n, \delta_m \rangle$$

where δ_n is the vector with 1 in the n^{th} place and zeroes elsewhere. For fixed $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, this function is analytic on $\rho(J)$. We define the Green's function $r(z, J) := r(0, 0; z, J)$ to be the $(0, 0)$ such entry. By finding the spectral measure for J with respect to δ_0, Green's function $r(z, J)$ can be represented as the Borel transform of a compactly-supported positive Borel measure $\mu = \mu_{\delta_0}$ as in (2.2).

A Herglotz (or Nevanlinna) function is a function which is analytic from the upper half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ to itself. It is a straightforward calculation that the Borel transform of a compactly-supported positive Borel measure is Herglotz, and thus the Green's function $r(z, J)$ is Herglotz. As such, $r(z, J)$ has Lebesgue almost-everywhere defined radial limits on $\sigma(J)$; that is, for almost-every $x \in \sigma(J)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} r(x + i\varepsilon, J)$ exists in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. As before, we denote this limit by $r(x + i0, J)$ when it exists.

Recall that we say a Jacobi operator J is reflectionless on a positive-measure set E when

$$\operatorname{Re}(r(x + i0, J)) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

for (Lebesgue) almost-every $x \in E$. We say that J is reflectionless if it is reflectionless on its spectrum $\sigma(J)$. Because the reflectionless assumption is otherwise vacuous, we assume throughout that our compacts E are of positive Lebesgue measure.

Recall also that we define the isospectral torus for E as

$$\mathcal{J}(E) := \{J : \sigma(J) \subset E \text{ and } J \text{ reflectionless on } E\}.$$

We endow $\mathcal{J}(E)$ with the weak operator topology (equivalently, the topology of pointwise convergence of the parametrizing sequences (a, b)). The term "isospectral torus" is perhaps sometimes a misnomer. In truth, it is not clear if $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is always homeomorphic to a torus, or even if it is always a manifold; however, for those compacts E consisting of a finite union of closed intervals, this is always the case [18]. Conjugation by the left-shift operator $S : \delta_n \mapsto \delta_{n+1}$ preserves the reflectionless condition and the spectrum; that is to say, if $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, so is $\mathcal{S}J := SJS^{-1}$. We will study this pair $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ as a dynamical system.

There is a close connection between reflectionless, almost-periodic Jacobi operators and absolute continuity of the spectrum. For example, an almost-periodic Jacobi operator J is reflectionless on the essential support $\Sigma_{ac}(J)$ of its a.c. spectrum:

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 1.4, [17])**.** *An almost-periodic Jacobi operator J is reflectionless on $\Sigma_{ac}(J)$.*

The converse is untrue: there are reflectionless Jacobi operators with purely absolutely continuous spectrum which are not almost-periodic [1, 24]. However, under certain geometric restrictions on the spectrum, one can conclude almost-periodicity from reflectionlessness. We elaborate below.

We say that a compact E is homogeneous (in the sense of Carleson) if there exists a positive constants $\tau > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $0 < r < \delta$ and all $x \in E$, $|B_r(x) \cap E| \geq \tau r$. For example, finite unions of nondegenerate closed intervals are homogeneous with $\tau = 1/2$. An important theorem which allows for the analysis of the dynamical system $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ under the a priori assumption of homogeneity of the spectrum is due to Sodin and Yuditskii:

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem C, [21])**.** *Suppose E is a homogeneous compact with g gaps, $0 \leq g \leq \infty$. Then the dynamical system $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ is conjugate to $((\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g, T_\omega)$, where $T_\omega(\alpha) = \alpha + \omega$, and ω is given by*

$$\omega_j = \omega_E((-\infty, E_j^-]).$$

Furthermore, every $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ is almost-periodic and purely absolutely continuous.

We call the values ω_j the harmonic frequencies of E. Here, ω_E is the harmonic measure for the domain $\Omega = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash E$ with pole at infinity. More specifically, for a homogeneous compact E, the domain Ω is regular for the Dirichlet problem, meaning, given a continuous function $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique extension $\tilde{f}$ of f to Ω with $\Delta \tilde{f} = 0$ given by integrating f

against a probability measure $\omega_E(dx, z)$ on $\partial\Omega = E$:

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \int_E f(x)\omega_E(dx, z).$$

The measure $\omega_E(dx, z)$ is called the harmonic measure for E with pole at z. Due to its increased significance, we denote by $\omega_E(dx) = \omega_E(dx, \infty)$ as shorthand.

Consider the set $\mathcal{M}_1(E)$ of Borel probability measures supported on E. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$, define its potential energy $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ as

$$\mathcal{E}(\mu) = \int_E \int_E \log\left(|x-y|^{-1}\right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$$

By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, $\mathcal{M}_1(E)$ is compact in the weak-$*$ topology, and thus $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ has a minimizer, the equilibrium measure $d\rho_E$. In fact, when E is finite-gap, the harmonic measure $\omega_E(dx)$ agrees with the equilibrium measure [16, Theorem 4.3.14]. In this sense, we can define the logarithmic capacity of E by

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{cap}(E) &:= \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)} \exp(-\mathcal{E}(\mu)) \\ &= \exp(-\mathcal{E}(\omega_E(dx))).\end{aligned}$$

An important property of the capacity that we will use is that it scales linearly; that is, if E is a compact set, $\alpha > 0$, and αE is the α-rescaling of E, one has [16, Theorem 5.1.2]

$$\operatorname{cap}(\alpha E) = \alpha \operatorname{cap}(E), \quad \alpha > 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The genericity of our theorems holds in the following sense: fix a finite union of nondegenerate closed intervals $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$, and write it as a closed interval without a finite union of maximal open gaps:

$$E = [E_0^+, E_0^-] \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (E_j^-, E_j^+). \tag{2.5}$$

To avoid degenerate spectral bands, we assume $E_j^+ < E_{j+1}^-$ for each $0 \le j \le n$, with the convention $E_{n+1}^- := E_0^-$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$T^n = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n\}.$$

Any compact $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ written as (2.5) can be parametrized by a vector in $T^{2(n+1)}$ by reading off endpoints from left to right:

$$E \mapsto (E_0^+, E_1^-, E_1^+, E_2^-, \cdots, E_n^+, E_0^-)^\top.$$

This parametrization naturally induces a topology and measure on $\mathcal{K}^n$ via the subspace topology of $T^{2(n+1)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2(n+1)}$.

The topology on $\mathcal{K}^n$ induces a projective topology on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^n$ in the following way. By (2.4), we have that, with the identification $\mathcal{K}^n$ with $T^{2(n+1)}$ above, we can topologize $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^n$ with the subspace topology and pushforward measure from the projection from $\mathcal{K}^n \cong \mathcal{K}_\alpha^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ (see Proposition 4.2 below). With these conventions, we have the following:

Proposition 2.3. *For each positive integer n and real $\alpha > 0$, the set of $E \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^n$ with rationally dependent harmonic frequencies is meager and has Lebesgue measure zero.*

We will prove this proposition in Section 4.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, in the finite-gap regime one generically has minimality of the shift on the isospectral torus. In particular, it is typically the case that the hull of a given almost-periodic $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ is the entire isospectral torus. To

prove our theorems, we utilize this fact in conjunction with a family of integral curves on $\mathcal{J}(E)$ called the Toda Hierarchy.

Consider a bounded linear operator A on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Denote by $A^{\pm}$ the restrictions of A to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\pm}) \hookrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, where the inclusion map is given by assigning zeros to the left- or right-half line. Fix a polynomial P of degree $n+1 \geq 1$. The n^{th} Toda flow (for P) is the integral curve $J(t)$ of Jacobi operators satisfying the Lax pair

$$\partial_t J = [P(J)^+ - P(J)^-, J]. \tag{2.6}$$

It is not so difficult to see that there exist unique solutions to (2.6) for any bounded Jacobi initial condition J_0 [22, Theorem 12.6]. A particularly consequential flow is the trivial one; that is, a monic polynomial P and Jacobi operator J so that

$$[P(J)^+ - P(J)^-, J] = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

We call such Jacobi operators stationary for P.

For particular choices of polynomial P, the Toda flow induces a system of differential equations on the parametrizing sequences $a, b \in \ell^\infty$. The critical facts about the Toda flow that we employ are summarized in

Proposition 2.4. *For any non-constant polynomial P:*

(1) [13, Corollary 1.3] *Suppose $J(t)$ is the unique solution to* (2.6) *with $J(0) = J_0 \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, where $E = \sigma(J_0)$. Then $J(t) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(2) [22, Theorem 12.8] *The stationary solutions $\partial_t J = 0$ of* (2.6) *are finite-gap reflectionless Jacobi operators.*

(3) [22, Corollary 12.10] *If J is stationary for P, then the spectrum of J has at most* $\deg(P) - 1$ *(open) spectral gaps. Furthermore, for each $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$, there exists a polynomial P of degree $n+1$ so that every $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ is stationary for P.*

Remark. We note that property *(3)* above is not stated verbatim from its cited source. While the proof of this statement is indeed a short corollary of the cited result, the machinery is slightly techinical; as such, we offer its proof in Section 5.

With this knowledge, one can find explicit expressions for the differential relations that the parametrizing sequences a, b must satisfy in order for the corresponding Jacobi operator to be reflectionless, and deduce periodicity in certain special cases. The limits of this method are clear: for polynomials P of large degree, the equations arising from (2.7) become quite complicated.

3. Proofs of the Main Theorems

We now have all of the requisite tools to prove our theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider the Toda lattice, given by (2.6) with $P(z) = z$. The associated system of differential equations is

$$\partial_t a_n = a_n(b_{n+1} - b_n), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\partial_t b_n = 2(a_n^2 - a_{n-1}^2). \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose there exists a DSO $H \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ and that $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ is minimal. Then, by minimality of the shift and the topology on $\mathcal{J}(E)$, every $J = J(a,b) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ has $a_n = 1$ for all n. Consider an arbitrary $J_0 \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, and let $J(t)$ solve (2.6) with $J(0) = J_0$. By Proposition 2.4, $J(t) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ for all t, and thus $a_n(t) = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. But then $\partial_t a_n = 0$, and by (3.1) $b_{n+1} = b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

From here, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is a matter of some bookkeeping:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix an $E \in \mathcal{K}_1^n$, $n \geq 1$, and suppose there exists a DSO $H \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. Since E has at least one spectral gap, $H = H_V$ does not have constant potential V, so the dynamics $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ are not minimal by Theorem 1.3. But by Theorem 2.2, the dynamical system $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ conjugates to $((\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^n, T_\omega)$. Since $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ is not minimal, some entries of ω are rationally dependent. This condition is meager and measure zero by Proposition 2.3. □

We extrapolate the ideas used above to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Fix a compact E with zero, one, or two open spectral gaps. We prove by case analysis on the number of gaps:

(1) If E has no spectral gaps, $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is a singleton set. If $\mathcal{J}(E)$ contains a DSO H_V, H_V must be stationary for (3.1) with $a_n = 1$ for all n; in particular, $H_V = S + S^{-1} + C$ is 1-periodic.

(2) Suppose E has one spectral gap. Then if $\mathcal{J}(E)$ contains a DSO H_V, V cannot be constant, and the shift dynamics thus cannot be minimal by Theorem 1.3. Thus, the lone harmonic frequency must be rational, and by Theorem 2.2, the dynamical system $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ is periodic, as claimed.

(3) Suppose E has two spectral gaps, and suppose there is a DSO $H_V \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. Then by Proposition 2.4, H_V is stationary for some monic degree 3 polynomial $P(z) = z^3 + c_1 z^2 + c_2 z$. Noting that (2.7) is linear in the choice of polynomial P, it is a long but straightforward calculation (cf. [22, Equation (12.44)]) to see that, from $\partial_t b_n = 0$ and $a_n = 1$, we have

$$(b_{n+1} - b_{n-1})(b_{n+1} + b_n + b_{n-1}) = -c_1(b_{n+1} - b_{n-1}).$$

Thus, for some constant $C = -c_1$, at least one of

$$b_{n+1} + b_n + b_{n-1} = C \tag{3.3}$$

$$b_{n+1} = b_{n-1} \tag{3.4}$$

must hold for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We claim that, if one of (3.3) or (3.4) holds for b_n for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then b_n can assume only finitely many distinct values.

If $b_{n+1} = b_{n-1}$ for every n, b is 2-periodic and we are done. Otherwise, there exists some n_0 for which $b_{n_0+1} \neq b_{n_0-1}$. By shifting a finite number of times, we may assume this $n_0 = 1$. Thus, we have

$$b_2 = C - (b_0 + b_1). \tag{3.5}$$

We proceed inductively. Denote by

$$\mathcal{B} := \{(b_i, b_j), 0 \leq i \neq j \leq 2\}$$

the set of all possible distinct pairs taken from $\{b_0, b_1, b_2\}$, listed with multiplicity. Here, we have passed to considering distinct pairs (b_i, b_j) because it is more challenging to proceed inductively via our relations (3.3), (3.5) when multiplicities are not taken into account.

Suppose that the pair $(b_n, b_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{B}$, and consider the pair (b_{n+1}, b_n). If (3.4) holds, we are clearly done by assumption; otherwise, $b_{n+1} = C - (b_n + b_{n-1})$. But by our assumption that $(b_n, b_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $b_n + b_{n-1} \in \{C - b_0, C - b_1, b_0 + b_1\}$. In any case, $b_{n+1} = C - (b_n + b_{n-1})$ implies $b_{n+1} \in \{b_0, b_1, b_2\} \setminus \{b_n\}$, and hence $(b_{n+1}, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}$. This shows that b_n takes finitely many values for $n \geq 0$. One can clearly apply the identical argument in reverse by the symmetry of (3.3) and (3.4) to conclude the same for $n < 0$.

Consequently, one sees that, if $(1, b)$ is stationary, b_n can assume only finitely many values. But because $H_V \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ and E is finite-gap, b_n is an almost-periodic sequence by Theorem 2.2. An almost-periodic sequence taking finitely many values is periodic, so $H_V \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ is periodic.

So, in each case, the existence of a DSO $H \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ implies that H is periodic. Thus, the compact E must be of periodic type; that is, $\omega_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ for each $j = 1, \cdots, n$ (see, e.g., [20, Corollary 5.5.19]). By Theorem 2.2, it follows that the dynamical system $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$ is periodic. □

Of course, this makes the proof of Theorem 1.2 quite simple:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix a finite-gap compact E with $n = 0, 1$, or 2 gaps, and suppose there exists an almost-periodic DSO H with $\sigma(H) = \sigma_{ac}(H) = E$. Then by Theorem 2.1, $H \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, and by Theorem 1.4, H is periodic. □

Remark. As noted in the Acknowledgments, we are thankful to Dr. Jake Fillman for pointing out that some of our methods extend beyond the class of DSOs. Namely, we call $J_0 = J_0(a)$ an off-diagonal Jacobi operator (ODJO) if it is of the form

$$(J_0 u)_n = a_n u_{n-1} + a_{n+1} u_{n+1}.$$

Theorem 1.3 holds with "DSO", "H_V", and "V" replaced by "ODJO", "$J_0(a)$", and "a", respectively, by noting that (3.2) must be zero under the assumption of minimality of $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S})$. Consequently, the natural analogue of Theorem 1.1 likewise holds for the ODJO class. However, our methods do not immediately extend to the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, because the assumption that $b_n = 0$ leads to certain degeneracies in the Toda hierarchy.

4. Frequencies of Finite-gap Compacts are Generically Independent

In this section, we prove Proposition 2.3.

Fix a compact $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$, and write it as a closed interval without a finite union of maximal open gaps as in (2.5):

$$E = [E_0^+, E_0^-] \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (E_j^-, E_j^+).$$

Associated to the compact E is a degree $2(n+1)$ polynomial Q given by

$$Q_E(z) = \prod_{j=0}^{n} (z - E_j^+)(z - E_j^-) \tag{4.1}$$

It is not hard to see that this polynomial is positive in $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$.

The following is classical, but we reproduce a proof (found in this form in [19]) for the sake of completeness:

Proposition 4.1. *Fix a compact $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$. There exists a unique monic critical polynomial $P_E(z)$ of degree n so that*

$$\int_{E_j^-}^{E_j^+} \frac{P_E(x)}{\sqrt{Q_E(x)}} dx = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n. \tag{4.2}$$

If we write

$$P_E(z) = z^n - c_1 z^{n-1} - ... - c_{n-1} z - c_n,$$

then the vector $\vec{c}$ with $(\vec{c})_j = c_j$ is the unique solution to the linear system $A\vec{c} = \vec{b}$, where

$$(A)_{jk} = \int_{E_j^-}^{E_j^+} \frac{x^{n-k}}{\sqrt{Q_E(x)}} dx$$
$$(\vec{b})_j = \int_{E_j^-}^{E_j^+} \frac{x^n}{\sqrt{Q_E(x)}} dx.$$

In particular, the coefficients c_j of P_E vary continuously in E.

Proof. By definition, if there exists a unique $\vec{c}$ solving $A\vec{c} = \vec{b}$ as claimed, then the critical polynomial exists. Thus, it suffices to show the linear equation has a unique solution, i.e. that the matrix A is non-singular.

Suppose otherwise. Then there exists a nonzero polynomial P of degree at most $n-1$ so that (4.2) holds. Since Q is positive on each gap (E_j^-, E_j^+), P must change sign on the interior of each gap (E_j^-, E_j^+), $j = 1, 2, ..., n$. But then P has at least n zeroes, contradicting our assumption on its degree. □

Thus, for each compact $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, we find an associated probability measure given by

$$d\rho_E(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{|P_E(x)|}{\sqrt{|Q_E(x)|}} \chi_{[E_j^+, E_{j+1}^-]}(x) dx \tag{4.3}$$

where here we interpret $E_{n+1}^- := E_0^-$. In fact, (4.3) is the equilibrium measure for E [25]; that is, $d\rho_E$ is the unique probability measure μ supported on E minimizing

$$\mathcal{E}(\mu) = \int_E \int_E \log(|x-y|^{-1}) d\mu(x) d\mu(y).$$

For finite-gap sets of the form (2.5) with non-degenerate bands, recall that the equilibrium measure agrees with harmonic measure (e.g., [16, Theorem 4.3.14]). Thus, we may equivalently define the frequency vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from Theorem 2.2 by

$$\omega_j = \rho_E((-\infty, E_j^-]), \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Because the pushforward of harmonic measure via a conformal bijection is the harmonic measure, the frequency vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is scaling-invariant; that is,

$$\omega(\alpha E) = \omega(E), \quad \alpha > 0. \tag{4.4}$$

One could likewise check this fact by a straightforward calculation using Proposition 4.1 and (4.3).

Fix a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote

$$T^n = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n\}.$$

Any compact $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ written (2.5) can be parametrized by a vector in $T^{2(n+1)}$ by reading off endpoints from left to right:

$$E \mapsto (E_0^+, E_1^-, E_1^+, E_2^-, \cdots, E_n^+, E_0^-)^\top$$

We identify $\mathcal{K}^n$ to $T^{2(n+1)}$ in this way, and note that $\mathcal{K}^n$ can be naturally viewed as a $\mathbb{R}_+$ bundle over $\mathcal{K}_1^n$:

Proposition 4.2. *Fix an $\alpha > 0$. The space $\mathcal{K}^n$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. Consider the map $h_\alpha : \mathcal{K}^n \to \mathcal{K}^n_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_+$ given by

$$h_\alpha(E) := \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{cap}(E)} E, \ \ \mathrm{cap}(E) \right).$$

By (2.4), h is a bijection, with continuous inverse

$$h_\alpha^{-1}(E, \beta) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} E.$$

The capacity $\mathrm{cap}(E)$ is continuous and positive on $\mathcal{K}^n$ by Proposition 4.1 and (4.3). Thus, h_α is likewise continuous, and h_α is a homeomorphism, as claimed. □

Define a map $\omega : \mathcal{K}^n \to T^n$ which outputs the frequency vector $\omega(E) \in T^n$ of a compact $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$. By (4.4), this map is constant on the $\mathbb{R}_+$ fibres over $\mathcal{K}^n_\alpha$. We wish to investigate the "typicality" of rational independence of the frequency vector with respect to the input compact E. With this in mind, we explore some properties of the map ω:

Proposition 4.3. *The map $\omega : \mathcal{K}^n \to T^n$ is real analytic. Furthermore, if $B \subset \mathcal{K}^n$ has measure zero, $\omega^{-1}(B)$ likewise has measure zero.*

Proof. We begin by proving analyticity.

Fix a positive integer $n \geq 1$, and denote by U the following open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2(n+1)+1}$:

$$U = \left\{ (v, t) \in T^{2(n+1)} \times \mathbb{R} : t \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (v_{2j-1}, v_{2j}) \right\}$$

Denote by $f_j(E, t) : U \to \mathbb{R}$ the function

$$f_j(E, t) = \frac{|P_E(t)|}{\sqrt{\left| \prod_{k \neq j} (t - E_{k-1}^+)(t - E_k^-) \right|}}$$

For each $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$, there exists a value $\delta > 0$ so that $f_j(E, t)$ is bounded and analytic on $B_\delta(E) \times (E_{j-1}^+ - \delta, E_j^- + \delta)$. Notice that, as defined, we have

$$\omega(E)_j = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \leq j} \int_{E_{k-1}^+}^{E_k^-} \frac{f_k(E, t)}{\sqrt{(t - E_{k-1}^+)(E_k^- - t)}} dt.$$

We study the j^{th} term in the sum, noting the other terms are analogous. Performing integration by parts, we see that

(4.5)

$$\int_{E_{j-1}^+}^{E_j^-} \frac{f_j(E, t)}{\sqrt{(t - E_{j-1}^+)(E_j^- - t)}} dt = C - \int_{E_{j-1}^+}^{E_j^-} \arctan \left(\frac{E_{j-1}^+ + E_j^- - 2t}{2\sqrt{(t - E_{j-1}^+)(E_j^- - t)}} \right) f_j'(E, t) dt$$

where the constant $C = C_j(E)$ depends analytically on E by our domain of analyticity of $f_j(E, t)$.

Since $f_j(E, \cdot)$ is analytic on $(E_{j-1}^+ - \delta, E_j^- + \delta)$, so is f_j'. Denote the (local) analytic expansion

$$f_j(E, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^j(E) t^k.$$

Note that, by joint analyticity of f_j, the terms $a_k^j(E)$ depend analytically on the endpoints of E. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{E_{j-1}^+}^{E_j^-} \frac{f_j(E,t)}{\sqrt{(t-E_{j-1}^+)(E_j^- - t)}}dt &= C - \int_{E_{j-1}^+}^{E_j^-} \arctan\left(\frac{E_{j-1}^+ + E_j^- - 2t}{2\sqrt{(t-E_{j-1}^+)(E_j^- - t)}}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k^j(E) t^{k-1}dt \\ &= C - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^j(E)\int_{E_{j-1}^+}^{E_j^-} \arctan\left(\frac{E_{j-1}^+ + E_j^- - 2t}{2\sqrt{(t-E_{j-1}^+)(E_j^- - t)}}\right) k t^{k-1}dt \\ &= C - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^j(E)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}((E_j^-)^k + (E_{j-1}^+)^k) - 4\int_{E_{j-1}^+}^{E_j^-} \frac{t^k(t-E_{j-1}^+)(E_j^- - t)}{(E_{j-1}^+ - E_j^-)^2}dt\right) \\ &= \sum_{\vec{m}\in[1,2(n+1)]^n} c_{\vec{m}} E^{\vec{m}},\end{aligned}$$

where we have implemented the standard multi-index notation in the last sum. Above, the first equality is from integration by parts, the second equality comes from Fubini's theorem and that $\arctan(\cdot)$ is bounded on the interval, the third again by integration by parts, and the fourth by our choice of domain and the analytic dependence of the terms $a_k^j(E)$ on E. Consequently, $\omega : \mathcal{K}^n \to T^n$ is analytic, as claimed.

Consider now the Jacobian $D\omega$ of ω. By Sard's theorem, the critical set $X \subset \mathcal{K}^n$ of values for which the Jacobian is not surjective has zero measure image under ω, that is, $|\omega(X)| = 0$.

Define a new analytic function $g : \mathcal{K}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$g(E) = \sum_{\vec{m}\in[1,2(n+1)]^n} \det((D\omega(E))_{\vec{m}})^2$$

where $(D\omega)_{\vec{m}}$ is the matrix formed by the n columns of $(D\omega)$ indexed by $\vec{m}$. The Jacobian is surjective (and thus ω a submersion) for all those E for which $g(E) \neq 0$. . By analyticity of g, either $\{E : g(E) = 0\}$ is (Lebesgue) measure zero in $\mathcal{K}^n$ or g is constantly zero. If g is constantly zero, then the set of critical points X is the whole domain $\mathcal{K}^n$. Thus, if g were constantly zero, then the image of ω must be of zero measure. But this is certainly false; the bands of n-gap compacts can have arbitrary harmonic measures [5].

Thus, $\{E : g(E) = 0\}$ has (Lebesgue) measure zero, and ω is almost-everywhere a submersion. It follows that the preimage of a measure zero set has measure zero [14]. □

Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and denote $B_{q,k} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : q \cdot v = k\} \cap T^n$. Of course, $B_{q,k}$ is a translation of a closed proper subspace of T^n, and thus is nowhere dense. Denote by $B = \bigcup_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} B_{q,k}$. This set is a countable union of nowhere dense sets, i.e. a meager set. We claim that

Lemma 4.4. *$\omega^{-1}(B_{q,k})$ is nowhere dense and has measure zero.*

Proof. $B_{q,k}$ is a closed subset of T^n of positive codimension, and thus of measure zero. By continuity, $\omega^{-1}(B_{q,k})$ is likewise closed, and by Lemma 4.3 $\omega^{-1}(B_{q,k})$ has measure zero. A closed, measure zero subset of Euclidean space is nowhere dense. □

We can now address the

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Consider the set $A \subset \mathcal{K}^n$ of n-gap compacts whose frequency vectors are rationally dependent; that is, $A = \omega^{-1}(B)$. That A is meager follows from the definition and Lemma 4.4. Because B is a countable union of zero measure sets, B likewise

has measure zero. By Proposition 4.3, $\omega^{-1}(B)$ has measure zero in $\mathcal{K}^n$. Finally, because ω is fibre-wise constant, for each $\alpha > 0$,

$$\omega^{-1}(B) = (\omega^{-1}(B) \cap \mathcal{K}^n_\alpha) \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and it follows that $\omega^{-1}(B) \cap \mathcal{K}^n_\alpha$ is meager and has measure zero under the pushforward measure induced by h_α. □

5. The Toda Hierarchy

Recall the definition of the Toda hierarchy from Section 2. Namely, for a polynomial P of degree $n+1 \geq 1$, recall that the n^{th} Toda flow (for P) is the integral curve of Jacobi operators satisfying the Lax pair (2.6)

$$\partial_t J = [P(J)^+ - P(J)^-, J].$$

When there exists a monic polynomial P and Jacobi operator J such that $P(J)^+ - P(J)^-$ and J commute,

$$[P(J)^+ - P(J)^-, J] = 0,$$

we say that J is stationary for P.

The Toda flow exists uniquely for any bounded Jacobi initial condition J_0 [22, Theorem 12.6]. Denote this flow by $\mathcal{T}_P(t)$, that is, the Jacobi matrix $\mathcal{T}_P(t)J_0$ should solve the Lax pair (2.6) with $\mathcal{T}_P(t)J_0 = J_0$. An important fact about the Toda flow which we did not mention above is the following:

Proposition 5.1 (Theorem 12.5, [22])**.** *Fix a polynomial P. Then $\mathcal{T}_P(t)J_0$ is unitarily equivalent to J_0 for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Thus, we see that the flow $\mathcal{T}_P$ preserves spectral properties. In fact, this flow also preserves the reflectionless condition [13, Corollary 1.3], and thus, for fixed polynomial P descends as a continuous-time dynamical system to $\mathcal{J}(E)$. Amazingly, under the assumption that E is homogeneous, the homeomorphism from Theorem 2.2, which linearized the shift dynamics, simultaneously linearizes the Toda flow.

Theorem 5.2 (Theorem 1.3, [23])**.** *Suppose E is a homogeneous compact with g gaps, $0 \leq g \leq \infty$, and let P be a polynomial. Then the dynamical system $(\mathcal{J}(E), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}_P(t))$ is conjugate to $((\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g, T_\omega, T_{t\xi_P})$, where $T_{t\xi_P}(\alpha) = \alpha + t\xi_P$, $\xi_P \in \mathbb{R}^g$.*

We remark that this result was well-known in the finite-gap regime [7], but we state this more general result for completeness.

Fix a compact $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and consider the polynomial $Q_E(z)$ defined as in (4.1) above:

$$Q_E(z) = \prod_{j=0}^{n} (z - E_j^+)(z - E_j^-).$$

Applying the square root with the typical branching, we find a function $Q_E^{1/2}$ which is analytic for z outside of the convex hull of E. Write its analytic expansion in this domain as

$$Q_E^{1/2}(z) = z^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j(E) z^j. \tag{5.1}$$

We intend to address the proof of property *(3)* from Proposition 2.4, given the following statement from [22]:

Proposition 5.3 (Corollary 12.10, [22]). *Let $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. Then J is stationary for a Toda polynomial*

$$P(z) = z^{m+1} + c_1 z^m + \cdots + c_m z$$

of degree $m+1$ if and only if there exists a polynomial Q_{m-n} of degree $m-n$ such that $c_j = c_j(\tilde{E})$, where $Q_{\tilde{E}}$ is given by

$$Q_{\tilde{E}}(z) = Q_{m-n}^2(z) Q_E(z).$$

Proposition 5.4 (Proposition 2.4, *(3)*). *If J is stationary for P, then the spectrum of J has at most* $\deg(P) - 1$ *(open) spectral gaps. Furthermore, for each $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$, there exists a polynomial P of degree $n+1$ so that every $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ is stationary for P.*

Proof. Let $E = \sigma(J)$, and suppose J is stationary for P of degree $m+1$. Since J is stationary, $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ with E finite-gap by Proposition 2.4 *(2)*. Suppose E has n gaps. Then, by Proposition 5.3, we have

$$P(z) = z^{m+1} + c_1 z^m + \cdots + c_m z,$$

where $c_j = c_j(\tilde{E})$ are the zeros of some polynomial $Q_{\tilde{E}}(z)$ of degree $2(m+1)$. Furthermore, we have that $Q_E(z)$, a polynomial of degree $2(n+1)$, is a factor of $Q_{\tilde{E}}(z)$. But for this to be true, it must be the case that $2(m+1) \geq 2(n+1)$; that is, that $m = \deg(P) - 1 \geq n$.

Now, suppose $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$, and define a sequence of coefficients $c_j = c_j(E)$ as in (5.1). Then taking $Q_{m-n}(z) = 1$ in Proposition 5.3 shows that J is stationary for P. □

While we have introduced all of these results, we feel it necessary, while not particularly relevant to the matter at hand, to note a simple consequence that we have not observed in the literature:

Theorem 5.5. *Let $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ for some $n \geq 0$, and suppose $J, J' \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. Then J and J' are unitary equivalent.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, Toda flows for various Toda polynomials are simultaneously linearized by our conjugacy. Thus, by Proposition 5.1, it suffices to find n linearly independent Toda flows on $\mathcal{J}(E)$. We claim that the Toda flows induced by $P_j(z) := z^j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ have this property.

Suppose otherwise; then there exists a linear combination $P = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j P_j$ such that the vector ξ_P (from Theorem 5.2) vanishes. But if $\xi_P = 0$, then for any $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, J is stationary for P. But $\deg(P) \leq n$ and $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$, contradicting Proposition 5.4. □

References

[1] Artur Avila. On the Kotani-Last and Schrödinger conjectures. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(2):579–616, 2015.

[2] Jonathan Breuer, Eric Ryckman, and Barry Simon. Equality of the spectral and dynamical definitions of reflection. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 295(2):531–550, 2010.

[3] David Damanik, Michael Goldstein, Wilhelm Schlag, and Mircea Voda. Homogeneity of the spectrum for quasi-perioidic Schrö dinger operators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.04904*, 2015.

[4] Sergey Denisov. On Rakhmanov's theorem for Jacobi matrices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132(3):847–852, 2004.

[5] Alexandre Eremenko and Peter Yuditskii. Comb functions. In *Recent advances in orthogonal polynomials, special functions, and their applications*, volume 578 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 99–118. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.

[6] Jake Fillman and Milivoje Lukic. Spectral homogeneity of limit-periodic Schrödinger operators. *Journal of Spectral Theory*, to appear.

[7] Fritz Gesztesy, Helge Holden, Johanna Michor, and Gerald Teschl. *Soliton equations and their algebro-geometric solutions. Vol. II*, volume 114 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. $(1+1)$-dimensional discrete models.

[8] Fritz Gesztesy, Konstantin A. Makarov, and Maxim Zinchenko. Essential closures and AC spectra for reflectionless CMV, Jacobi, and Schrödinger operators revisited. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 103(3):315–339, 2008.
[9] Fritz Gesztesy and Peter Yuditskii. Spectral properties of a class of reflectionless Schrödinger operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 241(2):486–527, 2006.
[10] Fritz Gesztesy and Maxim Zinchenko. Local spectral properties of reflectionless Jacobi, CMV, and Schrödinger operators. *J. Differential Equations*, 246(1):78–107, 2009.
[11] Rowan Killip and Barry Simon. Sum rules for Jacobi matrices and their applications to spectral theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 158(1):253–321, 2003.
[12] Shinichi Kotani. Ljapunov indices determine absolutely continuous spectra of stationary random one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. In *Stochastic analysis (Katata/Kyoto, 1982)*, volume 32 of *North-Holland Math. Library*, pages 225–247. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
[13] Alexei Poltoratski and Christian Remling. Approximation results for reflectionless Jacobi matrices. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):3575–3617, 2011.
[14] Stanislaw Ponomarëv. Submersions and pre-images of sets of measure zero. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 28(1):199–210, 1987.
[15] Jürgen Pöschel. Examples of discrete Schrödinger operators with pure point spectrum. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 88(4):447–463, 1983.
[16] Thomas Ransford. *Potential theory in the complex plane*, volume 28 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[17] Christian Remling. The absolutely continuous spectrum of Jacobi matrices. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(1):125–171, 2011.
[18] Christian Remling. Topological properties of reflectionless Jacobi matrices. *J. Approx. Theory*, 168:1–17, 2013.
[19] Jianhong Shen, Gilbert Strang, and Andrew Wathen, The potential theory of several intervals and its applications. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 44(1):67–85, 2001.
[20] Barry Simon. *Szegő's theorem and its descendants*. M. B. Porter Lectures. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011. Spectral theory for L^2 perturbations of orthogonal polynomials.
[21] Mikhail Sodin and Peter Yuditskii. Almost periodic Jacobi matrices with homogeneous spectrum, infinite-dimensional Jacobi inversion, and Hardy spaces of character-automorphic functions. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 7(3):387–435, 1997.
[22] Gerald Teschl. *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*, volume 72 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
[23] Victor Vinnikov and Peter Yuditskii. Functional models for almost periodic Jacobi matrices and the Toda hierarchy. *Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.*, 9(2):206–219, 2002.
[24] Alexander Volberg and Peter Yuditskii. Kotani-Last problem and Hardy spaces on surfaces of Widom type. *Invent. Math.*, 197(3):683–740, 2014.
[25] Harold Widom. Extremal polynomials associated with a system of curves in the complex plane *Advances in Math.*, 3:127–232,1969.

Department of Mathematics, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA
E-mail address: tv4@rice.edu